

# The War on Christianity, Part II:

## The Abomination and Blasphemy of Christian Zionism by ©James Perloff

- August 31, 2016
- [Bible](#), [Christianity](#), [Current Events](#), [History](#), [Religion](#)



Picture Credit:

Unknown

(Note: For those who would prefer to read this post in hard copy, it is Chapter Ten of my book .) [Thirteen Pieces of the Jigsaw](#)

**Foreword:** This post is long. It is long because Christian Zionism is based on a *multitude of lies*. The bigger the onion, the more the layers we are forced to peel off. I should also note that my paternal ancestors were Russian Jews (see photo below, taken about 1900). My great-grandfather's name was Abraham Perlovsky. People who criticize Zionism are frequently accused of being motivated by *anti-Semitism*. As I'm half-Jewish myself, *let me be clear that no such feelings impel me. I am unequivocally opposed to racism in any form.*



[Part 1](#) of this series explored how the Rockefellers were instrumental in orchestrating the Modernist movement, assaulting every fundamental doctrine of Christianity, for the long-range ecumenical purpose of absorbing it into a one-world religion. The Fundamentalist movement rejected Modernism, intending to stand by the faith's original tenets.

**Unfortunately, as we will now see, Fundamentalism was *itself* infiltrated and hijacked, consistent with the Rothschild strategy of funding both sides of wars. Fundamentalist churches were targeted to enlist their support for the Zionist agenda. The two principal agents in this scheme were John Nelson Darby (1800-1882) and Cyrus Scofield (1843-1921). What Darby planted, Scofield watered and disseminated. The theology they developed served the agenda by making several claims:**

- **God wanted the Jews to return to, and take over, Palestine.**
- **God has *two* plans of salvation—one through the Gospel of Jesus Christ, the other a guarantee reserved for Jews, his “Chosen People.”**
- **Christians should not involve themselves in politics, education, business or the arts, as these are “worldly” matters that should be left in the hands of “worldly” people. (The consequences of this doctrine are very visible in American culture today.)**

- God deals with mankind differently during different historical time frames or “dispensations,” of which there are seven. The current one, “Grace” under Jesus Christ, is merely the sixth of the seven dispensations.
- The Christian Church is doomed to inevitable failure, which will bring the Dispensation of Grace to a close.
- The end of this dispensational age will be marked by the Tribulation—worldwide persecution under the Antichrist for a period of seven years; however, Christians need not concern themselves with this, since Jesus will “Rapture” believers off the Earth and they won’t be around to experience it.
- Earth will then experience a Jewish era; Jewish ritualistic animal sacrifices will be reinstituted; Jesus will reign for a thousand years from Solomon’s rebuilt temple in Jerusalem.
- 

These doctrines, whose main outcome was “**Christian Zionism,**” might seem boring to atheists and agnostics, but they are nonetheless exceedingly relevant to the state of the world. They are espoused by celebrity-status theologians like Hal Lindsey, Pat Robertson and John Hagee, in the best-selling *Left Behind* book series, and are prevailing views in many conservative evangelical churches. Without these ideas being sweepingly disseminated, there might have been no Israeli state created in 1948, no 9/11, and no Middle East wars.

## The Context

Before proceeding, I’m aware that some readers may be visiting this website for the first time, so I’ll take a moment to “begin at the beginning.” The United States, and much of the world itself, is run by an incalculably wealthy oligarchy known as, among other names, the *Illuminati*. The trappings of “democracy” are an illusion; the oligarchy operates behind the scenes, choosing presidents and prime ministers long before the public goes to the polls. It owns and controls the central banks, most of the “Fortune 500” corporations, and the mainstream media (CNN, Fox, BBC, etc.), the latter being crucial to keeping the agenda, and the oligarchy itself, concealed from public awareness. It coordinates its global policies through international organizations such as the Bilderbergers, Trilateral Commission, and an upper, exclusive level of Freemasonry. **The cabal’s highest identifiable human center is the Rothschild banking dynasty.** But the oligarchy is not only about materialistic matters such as money and power; like the universe itself, **it possesses a spiritual dimension: its outlook is satanic, which largely accounts for Western culture’s rapid moral descent.**

I cannot document and prove these claims in one paragraph, but I can in a book, which is why I wrote [\*Truth Is a Lonely Warrior\*](#). The ultimate goal of the Illuminati is a world government. Regional blocs like the European Union and NAFTA (intended to become a North American Union) are stepping stones toward this end. The world government will be ruled by a dark figure whom the Bible calls the “beast” or “Antichrist.” The book of Revelation says he will have “authority over every tribe, people, language and nation.” *The capital of this evil world government will be Jerusalem, a city revered by Christians, Muslims and Jews alike, to be centered in Greater Israel.* It was for this purpose that:

- the Rothschilds committed their fortune to the Zionist movement, beginning no later than 1829;
- Theodor Herzl began hosting the World Zionist congresses in Basle, Switzerland in 1897;
- the British government was persuaded to issue the Balfour Declaration to Lord Walter Rothschild in 1917, promising the Zionists “establishment in Palestine of a national home for the Jewish people” in exchange for the Zionists bringing America into World War I on Britain’s side.
- 

However, Zionist seizure of Palestine could not have occurred *without the consent and cooperation of the world’s Christian community*. Given the centuries-old conflict between Jews and Christians, it was necessary to remold Christian theology to accommodate the Rothschild plan. **Cyrus Scofield and his reference Bible came on the scene for this express purpose.**

## Darby Plants the Seeds

Before examining Scofield’s life, we should note a little about John Nelson Darby, the principle figure from whom Scofield borrowed his Biblical analysis. Darby was a Satanist, Freemason and agent of the Rothschild-owned British East India Company,<sup>1</sup> the latter being the most powerful multinational corporation of its day and the supplier that turned millions of Chinese into opium addicts. Darby’s family owned Leap Castle, renowned as the most sinister and occult castle in Ireland’s history.



Darby became a leader of a Christian sect called the Plymouth Brethren (named for Plymouth, England, where its most popular gatherings were held). **He is generally credited with originating the “Secret Rapture” doctrine and made several trips to America to spread his ideas.**

Darby used many terms in common with occult Theosophists—he referred to Jesus as “the coming one” (same term New Agers use for the Antichrist); referred to God as the “architect” (same phrase employed by Freemasons, meaning “God” for the uninitiated, “Lucifer” to true adepts); and many other occult phrases, as summarized in this [article](#).




Darby even penned his own satanic version of the Bible. The Illuminati have always known they could not perform a wholesale transformation of the Bible, because it would be recognized as such and rejected. Therefore the approach through the centuries has been to whittle it away: a word here, a phrase there—**the universal strategy of boiling the frog.**

Darby slyly introduced satanic wording into the Biblical text. For example, in the King James rendering of John 6:69, Peter told Jesus: “And we believe and are sure that thou art that Christ, the Son of the living God.” Darby rendered this: “**And we have believed and known that thou art the holy one of God.**” “Holy one of God” is a title used for Jesus *only by demons* in the King James. For a comprehensive review of Darby’s satanic mistranslations, see the article [John Nelson Darby Version: Doctrinal Changes to the Holy Bible.](#)

## **Scofield Spreads Darby Like a Virus**

**In 1897, Theodore Herzl began hosting the Rothschild-backed Zionist congresses in Switzerland, developing the plan for Zionist takeover of Palestine.** Knowing this scheme would require Christian approval, in **1904 Herzl approached Pope Pius X, who very politely told him what he could do with his plan. After Catholic rejection, the Rothschilds knew Protestant support would be essential.** But this could only be achieved by tampering with the Bible to make it appear God himself had ordained that Jews retake Palestine. Such a Bible would have to come from a non-Jew, someone with credentials as a theologian. Thus emerged Cyrus Scofield and his reference Bible.




**Scofield started out as a crooked Kansas lawyer and politician, working under the auspices of John J. Ingalls, a major figure in corrupt Kansas politics. In 1881, the *Atchison Globe* reported:**

*C. I. Schofield [sic], who was appointed United States District Attorney for Kansas in 1873, and who turned out worse than any other Kansas official, is now a Campbellite preacher in Missouri. His wife and two children live in Atchison. He contributes nothing to their support except good advice.<sup>2</sup>*

That same year, the Topeka *Daily Capital* picked up the story:

*Cyrus I. Schofield, formerly of Kansas, late lawyer, politician and shyster generally, has come to the surface again, and promises once more to gather around himself that halo of notoriety that has made him so prominent in the past. The last personal knowledge that Kansans have had of this peer among scalawags, was when about four years ago, after a series of forgeries and confidence games he left the state and a destitute family and took refuge in Canada. For a time he kept undercover, nothing being heard of him until within the past two years when he turned up in St. Louis, where he had a wealthy widowed sister living who has generally come to the front and squared up Cyrus' little follies and foibles by paying good round sums of money. Within the past year, however, Cyrus committed a series of St. Louis forgeries that could not be settled so easily, and the erratic young gentleman was compelled to linger in the St. Louis jail for a period of six months.*<sup>3</sup>



However, court cases against Scofield were inexplicably dropped. As Joseph M. Canfield, who is probably Scofield's most thorough biographer, notes: "The very sudden dropping of the criminal charges without proper adjudication suggests that Scofield's career was in the hands of someone who had clout . . ."<sup>4</sup>

**According to Scofield**, his conversion to Christ occurred in 1879 in his "St. Louis law office." However, he was not a member of Missouri's bar, and no record exists of his practicing law in that state<sup>5</sup>—in fact, he was habitually on the run *from* the law.

Scofield began immersing himself in Darby's teachings. He was mentored by Rev. James H. Brookes, whose very pulpit Darby had preached from.<sup>6</sup> He made rapid ecclesiastical progress: by 1881 he was already a pastor in St. Louis, despite having no seminary training or other formal religious education.

**In 1882**, Scofield moved to Dallas and began an extended term as pastor of the First Congregational Church. Possibly this move was necessitated because his criminal past and familial irresponsibility were too well-known in the Kansas-Missouri region. As Rev. John S. Torell writes:

**There were a number of wealthy and political power brokers in the membership of the First Congregational Church in Dallas. . . I do know that most churches in the United States are heavily infested with Freemasons. George Bannerman Dealey was a member of the Westminster Presbyterian Church in the later part of his life. But he was also heavily involved in the occult, majoring in the Scottish Rite of Masonry with a 33rd degree and active as a Shriner, and was also a member of the Red Cross of Constantine. Most likely he had a hand in getting Cyrus into Masonic circles and particularly the Lotos Club in New York.**<sup>7</sup>

## Post-Conversion Issues

I am well aware that a person redeemed by Christ receives forgiveness of sins. I am also aware that those born again remain flawed individuals. Nevertheless, a high standard is held for pastors and elders of churches. In 1883, back in Kansas, Reverend Scofield's wife Leontine was granted a divorce on the grounds that he had long since abandoned her and their two daughters, Abigail and Helene. The court ruled that Scofield "was not a fit person to have custody of the children."<sup>8</sup> Within six months of the divorce, Scofield married a new wife, Hettie.

Here is what the Bible says (1 Timothy 5:8): "But if any provide not for his own, and specially for those of his own house, he hath denied the faith, and is worse than an infidel." Scofield's fans give him a free pass on this teaching, and not surprisingly, the Scofield Reference Bible makes no commentary on this verse.

Scofield's defenders have argued that he had to divorce his wife because she was Catholic, but there is no Biblical grounds for that, and Scofield had deserted his family long before his professed conversion to Christ. However, if handlers were prepping him to fulfill the role of Zionist messenger to Protestant churches, it may well be that having a Catholic wife was deemed unsuitable.

**Although Scofield became quite wealthy from his reference Bible, there is no evidence that he ever shared his riches with his abandoned family,** or ever made restitution to people whom he had defrauded in Kansas and Missouri.

Truthfulness is another characteristic of genuine conversion. Here is how Scofield later described his military service in his *Who's Who in America* entry:

*Pvt. Co. H. 7th Tenn. Inf. May 1861 to close of Civil War; served in Army of Northern Va. under Gen. Lee, and awarded Cross of Honor for valor at battle of Antietam.*<sup>9</sup>

Although Scofield was in the 7th Tennessee, this little entry is full of falsehoods. Scofield did not serve until "the close of the war." He successfully begged out of the service in 1862 on grounds that he was a Northerner (he was Michigan-born). Also, Scofield was not decorated for valor at the battle of Antietam. Decorations were a frill the Confederate army could not afford. The [Cross of Honor](#) was a postwar decoration bestowed by the United Daughters of the Confederacy, beginning in 1900, to *any* veteran who had provided "loyal, honorable service to the South." As to having served "under Gen. Lee"—as Canfield notes, this was only in the same sense that "GIs in WW2 were under Eisenhower."<sup>10</sup>



Perhaps more egregiously, by 1892 Cyrus began using the title “Dr. Scofield.” In the Scofield Reference Bible, he is “Rev. C. I. Scofield, D. D.” (Doctor of Divinity). Conveying this landmark book as a scholar’s work was, of course, vital. However, there is no evidence Scofield ever received a doctorate. He never attended a college or seminary. Some suggest he could have received an *honorary* doctorate, but even this seems improbable: no institution has ever claimed credit for awarding it, Scofield made no allusion to the degree’s source in his *Who’s Who* biography, and since Dispensationalism was still viewed as highly unorthodox in 1892, no Christian institution would have likely bestowed such an honorary degree on Scofield.

## Scofield Acquires Connections and Backers

Scofield met the distinguished theologian D. L. Moody during one of the latter’s evangelical campaigns. In 1886, Moody spoke in Dallas at Scofield’s invitation. A loose association continued between them, and in 1896 Scofield moved to New England, becoming pastor of Trinitarian Congregational, Moody’s home church. It is unclear if this occurred at Moody’s request, but association with Moody gave Scofield another credential that advanced his theologian resumé. In December 1899, Moody died at 62 from an undiagnosed illness.

In 1901, Scofield became a member of New York’s exclusive, invitation-only Lotos Club, a hangout for the financial and literary elite. Members have included Mark Twain, *New York Times* owner Arthur Hays Sulzberger, the atheist industrialist Andrew Carnegie, and sexual revolution advocate Margaret Mead. The Lotos Club was the sort of place where a Fundamentalist preacher would ordinarily be ridiculed, yet somehow Scofield joined its rolls, even though the membership fee alone equaled one-fifth of his salary as a pastor.<sup>11</sup> He remained a member until his death in 1921.

Scofield’s Lotos Club admission was approved by the ultra-Zionist attorney on the club’s Literary Committee, Samuel Untermyer. During his lifetime, **Untermyer served as President of [Keren Hayesod](#) (Zionism’s chief financial angel), played a major role in drafting the Federal Reserve Act, was notorious for [blackmailing Woodrow Wilson](#) into appointing Louis Brandeis to the Supreme Court, and [spearheaded the Jewish “declaration of war” boycott](#) against Germany in 1933. Is it surprising that someone who might be called America’s leading Zionist would sponsor, at the Lotos Club, the theologian who produced *the book which birthed “Christian Zionism”*?**



Samuel Untermyer

**According to some sources (e.g., [this article](#)), Untermyer introduced Scofield to other leading Zionist financiers, such as Jacob Schiff and Bernard Baruch. This is credible, since Untermyer was close to these individuals, and Scofield's finances took a turn for the better—he was suddenly able to afford extended trips to Europe in pursuit of producing his reference Bible.**

Scofield and his wife Hettie traveled to England in 1904. According to Charles Trumbull's official, laudatory biography of Scofield, he told a London acquaintance, Robert Scott, that he planned writing a reference Bible, but had no idea who might publish it. As luck would have it, Scott was able to introduce him to Henry Frowde, head of Oxford University Press. Quoting Trumbull:

*Mr. Frowde was interested. He said he would consult Mr. Armstrong, then head of the American branch of the Oxford University Press. Mr. Armstrong was immediately enthusiastic at the suggestion that this new Reference Bible be brought out by the Oxford Press, and a preliminary understanding was quickly reached. Mr. Frowde assured Dr. Scofield that, if he finally decided to place the Bible with them, they could readily arrange a proper contract for the publication, in the interests of each party. And so the publishing question was settled . . . .*<sup>12</sup>

This story is as preposterous as Scofield's Lotos Club admission. Major publishing houses don't assure publication of manuscripts they haven't even seen yet, unless the author has a proven track record of bestsellers (e.g., a Stephen King). Scofield had never written a book before, with the exception of *Rightly Dividing the Word of Truth* (which was actually self-described as a "pamphlet"). He had no academic background qualifying him to edit a reference Bible.

**Furthermore, Oxford University Press was owned by Zionist Jews and run by Fabian Socialists. It was primarily devoted to publishing literary and scholarly books, *not Bibles*, and like the Lotos Club, was a venue normally hostile to evangelical Fundamentalists.**

It is rather apparent that Scofield's Zionist connections, who got him into the Lotos Club and provided travel funding, also arranged for Oxford's up-front publication agreement. Is it only coincidence that Scofield's trip to England came on the heels of the Pope's rejection (January 26, 1904) of Herzl's plea to support a Zionist state in Palestine? With the Catholics out, rallying Protestants had become imperative. Oxford University Press, with offices on both sides of the Atlantic, could ensure Scofield's work would receive the publicity and distribution the Zionists desired.

**When the Scofields left England, they moved to Switzerland, where, according to Trumbull, Scofield did nine months of "solid work" on his reference Bible. But why Switzerland? Although John Calvin's library was there, it was not a very logical place to research and write a reference Bible. It was, however, a center of Freemasonic and covert banking activities, and, perhaps most importantly, where Theodor Herzl hosted the early Zionist congresses.**

Scofield came back to America in 1905. In 1906 he returned to England (and according to some sources, Switzerland again). In 1907, Scofield signed his publishing contract at the New York City office of Oxford University Press, and his reference Bible was first published in January 1909.

One immediately notices the volume was produced with astonishing swiftness. For most men, a reference Bible would have required a lifetime's work. All the more remarkable: Scofield had no seminary or university training, and was not formally schooled in the languages that ancient Biblical texts are written in—Greek, Hebrew and Aramaic.

## The Scofield Bible's Strategy

Were, then, some of Scofield's notes dictated to him by other parties? Even if not, reviewing the Bible makes it evident that Scofield borrowed heavily from John Nelson Darby, James Brookes, and other early proponents of Plymouth Brethren-Dispensational theology. Scofield would undoubtedly have preferred **to use Darby's satanic Bible version**, or a [Hort-Westcott](#) modernized translation. But since the Zionists wanted to reach the largest cross-section of Fundamentalists, the King James was employed. As James Whisler notes:

*Cyrus wanted to use the bible of his heroes, Westcott and Hort, for this project. However, he knew that due to the prominence of the KJV and the meager results of the Revised Version sales, that his dispensationalist teachings would never get anywhere if coupled with the R.V. So he used the KJV, but he subtly showed his contempt for it and his reverence for the Revised Version. This is how he did it. Everywhere that the King James disagreed with the Revised Version in an area of doctrinal importance, Cyrus inserted a footnote stating the KJV was incorrect and he always offered a "more correct" rendering which was almost always identical to the RV.<sup>13</sup>*

Although his reference Bible was first published in 1909, that edition is virtually impossible to find today. It was the revised 1917 edition that was hyper-marketed, with limitless advertising, by Oxford University Press, selling millions of copies. Is it only coincidence that 1917 was also the year of the [Balfour Declaration](#), by which Britain's government pledged to Lord Walter Rothschild and the Zionist Federation to establish a "national home" for the Jews in Palestine? The simultaneous mass-marketing of Scofield's Bible would make it appear that God himself had cosigned the Declaration, and "prophecy was being fulfilled" before believers' eyes.

**Why was Scofield's work so effectual at changing people's understanding of the Bible?** Before this, most commentaries were published separately from the Scriptures themselves. Earlier expositors had regarded the Bible as the sacred Word of God, and that its text should not be adulterated by their unworthy human words. Scofield scorned this tradition, placing his comments right on the Bible's pages. While this was done under the pretext of reader convenience, its subliminal impact was to give Scofield's views status competing with the Scriptures. When a reader recalled a specific Bible verse, he was apt to remember Scofield's words along with it, or even in its place.

Some were not fooled. As [Philip Mauro](#) commented in 1927:

*It is a matter of grief to me that a book should exist wherein the corrupt words of mortal man are printed on the same page with the holy Words of the living God; this mixture of the precious and the vile being made an article of sale, entitled a "Bible," and distinguished by a man's name. . . . For the fact is that dispensationalism is modernism. It is modernism, moreover, of a very pernicious sort, such that it must have a "Bible" of its own for the propagation of its peculiar doctrines, since they are not in the Word of God.<sup>14</sup>*

With Oxford's intense marketing, people who simply wanted a Bible often found themselves holding a Scofield Bible, and thus became unwitting recipients of "Scofieldism." To help ensure the Bible sold well, Oxford produced it in beautifully printed cloth and leather editions. To the unsuspecting, this appeared "God-honoring."

## **How Scofield Twisted the Bible to Accommodate Zionism**

**I know some will argue that Scofield's notes include many theologically sound remarks. Of course they do, because lies are far more effective when mingled with truths.** The Bible warns us that "A little yeast works through the whole batch of dough" (Galatians 5:9) and to "Beware of the yeast of the Pharisees and Sadducees!" (Matthew 16:6).

Although the word "thee" is *singular* in the Hebrew,<sup>15</sup> Scofield pulled a fast one, made it plural, and applied the blessing to modern Jews. He wrote in his notes:

*"And curse him that curseth thee." Wonderfully fulfilled in the history of the dispersion. It has invariably fared ill with the people who have persecuted the Jew—well with those who have protected him. The future will still more remarkably prove this principle.<sup>16</sup>*

**The Scofield Reference Bible was copyrighted by Oxford University Press, not Scofield. This gave the publishing house license to change his words in subsequent printings. Oxford issued a revised edition in 1967 (coinciding with the Six Day War and Israel's seizure of Jerusalem).** That version put Scofield's Zionism on steroids, adding, for example, this phrase to the above words: "For a nation to commit the sin of anti-Semitism brings inevitable judgement." The Bible, of course, never refers to "the sin of anti-Semitism."

In Genesis 15:18, God described the land He was giving Abraham and his seed:

*In the same day the Lord made a covenant with Abram, saying, Unto thy seed have I given this land, from the river of Egypt unto the great river, the river Euphrates.*



**At Herzl's Zionist congresses, plans were made to claim all land from the Nile to the Euphrates.**



Greater Israel

**This demarks “Greater Israel”; the Euphrates and Nile rivers are the two blue stripes displayed on the Israeli flag. They enclose a star traditionally used in Satanism, with six points, six triangles, and a hexagon (six-sided) in the middle—666.**



To convince Christians that Zionists remained entitled to this land, **Scofield made God's promise "unconditional."** He wrote:

*For Abraham and his descendants it is evident that the Abrahamic Covenant made a great change. They became distinctively the heirs of promise. That covenant is wholly gracious and unconditional. The descendants of Abraham had but to abide in their own land to inherit every blessing.<sup>17</sup>*

But as any Bible student knows—or should know—**God's promises to the Hebrews were *always* conditional upon their faith.** When Moses brought the Hebrews out of Egypt, God did not even permit them to *enter* the land due to lack of faith. Instead, they were compelled to first wander in the wilderness for forty years. Do you think today's "Israelites" are more faithful to God than those of Moses' days?



Tel Aviv's 2016 Gay Pride Parade. Tel Aviv has been voted the [world's number one gay city](#)>. Look like Biblical holiness?

**Anyone who believes God's promises to Israel were "unconditional" should read Deuteronomy 28, which clearly enumerates blessings for obedience, counterbalanced by curses for disobedience. What did John the Baptist think about claims of "unconditional" heritage? He told the Pharisees: "And do not think you can say to yourselves, 'We have Abraham as our father.' I tell you that out of these stones God can raise up children for Abraham." (Matthew 3:9). Jesus said: "Therefore say I unto you, The kingdom of God shall be taken from you, and given to a nation bringing forth the fruits thereof." (Matthew 21:43)**

Scofield also made it appear that the Bible *prophesied* a future return of the Jews to Palestine, in order to give the Balfour Declaration, and Israel's eventual statehood, the illusion of "fulfilled prophecies." His notes proclaimed:

*The gift of the land is modified by prophecies of three dispossessions and restorations . . . Two dispossessions and restorations have been accomplished. Israel is now in the third dispersion, from which she will be restored at the return of the Lord as King under the Davidic Covenant.*<sup>18</sup>

**Scofield's claim of three restorations is unscriptural.** The Bible prophesies only two restorations: the Hebrews' original journey from Egypt to the Promised Land under Moses; and the return from the exile in Babylon described in the books of Ezra and Nehemiah. To support his claim, Scofield used verses referring to the Ezra-Nehemiah return, or to the coming of Christ, but *nowhere* does the

Bible forecast a third return of the Jews to Palestine, unless ones uses (as Scofield did) imaginative leaps of logic.

A cardinal rule of Scofield Dispensationalism: claim that if any Biblical prophecy was not fulfilled in the past, it still awaits future fulfillment. Of God's promise to give Abraham's seed the land from the Nile to the Euphrates, Scofield wrote: "It is important to see that the nation has never as yet taken the land under the unconditional Abrahamic Covenant, nor has it ever possessed the whole land."<sup>19</sup>

"Important," **Scofield? Important to whom? Only to your Zionist masters.** Although it is claimed that the conquests described in the book of Joshua did not give the Hebrews the *whole* land, and thus left God's promise to Abraham "unfulfilled" until the future, a careful reading of the Bible disproves this. Joshua 21:43-45 declares:

*And the Lord gave unto Israel all the land which he sware to give unto their fathers; and they possessed it, and dwelt therein. And the Lord gave them rest round about, according to all that he sware unto their fathers: and there stood not a man of all their enemies before them; the Lord delivered all their enemies into their hand. There failed not ought of any good thing which the Lord had spoken unto the house of Israel; all came to pass.*

**1 Kings 4:21 testifies that the Israelites ruled the entire area Herzl envisioned as "Greater Israel":**

*And Solomon ruled over all the kingdoms from the Euphrates River to the land of the Philistines, as far as the border of Egypt. These countries brought tribute and were Solomon's subjects all his life.*

Thus the Bible itself refutes Scofield's claim that the promise was never fulfilled, allegedly justifying the modern Zionist takeover of Palestine.

## **Scofield's Legacy: The Damage that Has Been Done**

**Scofield's Bible birthed "Christian Zionism," and with it, untold sorrows over the past century:**

- *Ethnic Cleansing of Palestine.* In the 1948 Nakba, the Zionists murderously drove 750,000 Palestinians from their homes at gunpoint. Quoting *If Americans Knew*:

*Zionist forces committed 33 massacres and destroyed 531 Palestinian towns. Author Norman Finkelstein [himself Jewish] states: "According to the former director of the Israeli army archives, 'in almost every village occupied by us during the War... acts were committed which are defined as war crimes, such as murders, massacres, and rapes'...Uri Milstein, the authoritative Israeli military historian of the 1948 war, goes one step further, maintaining that 'every skirmish ended in a massacre of Arabs.'"*<sup>20</sup>





Victims of 1948 Deir Yassin

Massacre

The ethnic cleansing of Palestinians has continued to this day.





Israeli settlers abuse a Palestinian

For those Christians who think the book of Joshua somehow still justifies this, I wish to point out that the Canaanites whom Joshua and the Hebrews fought against were *giants; satanically transhumanized beings and worshippers of Satan*. (See my article “[Making Sense of the Supernatural](#).”) Today’s Palestinians *do not equate to the ancient Canaanites*, and contrary to what “Christian Zionists” typically believe, many Palestinians are Christians.





Israel destroys a Gaza town, 2014:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7oDxVsIUJXQ>

Christian Zionists have bought into the myth that Israel is only “defending its right to exist” and that Palestinians are “terrorists.” The Israelis have over 4,000 tanks and over 400 combat aircraft, thanks to a steady flow of about \$3 billion annually from American taxpayers. The unarmed Palestinians have not one tank or plane; they fight their illegal occupiers primarily with stones.



George W. Bush invaded Iraq in 2003 on the pretext that it was avoiding UN inspections of weapons of mass destruction (which, it turned out, didn't even exist). Israel, on the other hand, has hundreds of nuclear weapons which it refuses to let the UN inspect; the United States grants it a free pass, and has even [given Israel the hydrogen bomb](#).

- *False-flag Terrorism and War for the Rest of the World.* Contrary to the Zionist media's spin, Israel has been the world's number-one sponsor of terrorism, implemented "by way of deception"—the motto of Mossad, Israel's intelligence service. This has included, for example, the 1946 [King David Hotel bombing](#), the 1954 [Lavon Affair](#), the vicious 1967 [attack on the USS Liberty](#), the 1986 "[Trojan](#)" deception that led Reagan to bomb Libya ([scroll down a little if clicking link](#)), and of course, the mother of them all, 9/11, [covered with Zionist-Israeli fingerprints](#). 9/11 led to the countless and unnecessary Middle East wars which were [already foreknown in 2001](#). **Those wars in turn produced the refugee crisis ravaging Europe today.**

- *Provoking Hostility of Muslims toward Christianity.* Christian Aid is a leading Christian charity and missionary organization, founded in 1945. Here is an informative 2003 quote from Bob Finley, Christian Aid's founder and CEO:

*Christian support for the Zionist movement began in England a century ago when a few Bible teachers began to interpret certain Old Testament prophecies regarding the*



**ancient Hebrews as being applicable to the present day Jewish people. Apparently those teachers did not know that (according to the Universal Jewish Encyclopedia) the Ashkenazi, or Yiddish, majority of Jewish people originally came from the empire of Khazaria in Southern Russia and are not biologically related to Abraham. So when a few Ashkenazi Zionists began trying to take over parts of Palestine through acts of terrorism about 70 years ago, some Christians started saying it signified the fulfillment of some obscure Old Testament prophecies.**

**Christians today fail to realize how such statements have had a destructive effect on our fellow believers in many parts of the world, so Christian Aid has begun to call attention to these facts. Since 1940 the Zionists have killed, driven out or displaced over two million of the original residents of Palestine. Their lands, houses and businesses have all been stolen, and most of their personal property as well. Hundreds of thousands of Palestinians fled to refugee camps in surrounding countries over a 20-year period. Yet all the while, unbelievably, some Christians in America were cheering for the Zionists, and proclaiming their atrocities as being blessed of God. . . . Our thoughtless expressions of approval have been destructive in three ways.**

### **1. What we have done to our fellow Christians in Islamic Lands.**

*When Americans speak favorably about Zionist aggression in Palestine, we bring needless persecution on multiplied thousands of our fellow believers now living in Islamic countries. Christian citizens of those countries are suspected of being in agreement with what the Americans are saying, though not one in a thousand of them are. When Muslims hear of Baptists in America praising Zionist atrocities, what are they to think of the Baptist churches in their countries? Or the Pentecostals? Or Presbyterians? How can we expect them not to retaliate against those who favor killing their fellow Muslims?*

### **2. What we have done to missionary work among the Muslims.**

*Fifty years ago millions of Muslims were open to the gospel. There was a great missionary opportunity for reaching them for Christ. Muslims make up the largest segment of unreached peoples on earth, and they were very open until American Christians began to praise Zionist conquests in Palestine. Since most of the violence has been against Muslims, it is to be expected that Muslims in other countries would be sympathetic toward the victims and resentful toward the Christians who support Zionist expansion. This political development has served to cut off millions of Muslims from their previous receptivity to the gospel. . . . Our missionary opportunity among them has been ruined.*

### **3. What Zionism has done to our churches.**

**Until 50 years ago most Christians accepted events recorded in the book of Joshua as something special for that particular time. We believed that the coming of the Saviour brought a New Covenant under which we no longer resort to violence to advance the kingdom of God. But when the Zionist movement began in Palestine around 1920, some Christians started to disregard New Testament principles. We would say it's wrong for us to kill our neighbor and steal his property, but if Jewish people did it in Palestine, then it was O.K. First it was thousands, then tens of thousands, and eventually hundreds of thousands of Palestinians who were driven from their homes.**

*All of their property was stolen by the Zionists. Forty percent of the victims were professing Christians, many of whom were born again believers. Yet, to our everlasting shame, many Christians in America have stood on the sidelines and cheered for the murderers. It's all O.K., we say, because Zionism is a fulfillment of prophecy. It is hard to imagine how any Bible believer with reasonable intelligence could endorse such things, particularly when so many thousands of conscientious Jews the world over have objected strenuously to Zionist aggression in Palestine.*

*Some of our faithful friends in Christ have told us that if we dare to say anything about the tragedies that have resulted from Christians endorsing Zionist atrocities we may lose some financial support as a result. That doesn't bother me. My Bible says, "We must obey God rather than men." (Acts 5:29)*

*Faithfully yours in Him,  
Bob Finley  
Chairman and CEO<sup>21</sup>*

**I do wish to point out: the great majority of Christian Zionists have endorsed Zionism without conscious knowledge of the atrocities in Palestine, which the U.S. media has suppressed. Most American evangelicals and dispensationalists are good-hearted people, who have unfortunately been led astray about Israel.**

## **Why So Many Christians Buy the Nonsense**

Besides Scofield's distortion of the Abrahamic covenant to mean an "unconditional" real-estate promise to the Jews, and his invention of a third prophesied homecoming, the following reasons stand out:

- ***The "chosen people" myth.*** In keeping with Zionist preferences, Scofield ignored the New Testament's clear explanation: "There is neither Jew nor Greek, there is neither bond nor free, there is neither male nor female: for ye are all one in Christ Jesus. And if you belong to Christ, then you are Abraham's seed, and heirs according to the promise." (Galatians 3:28-29). Scofield instead reverted the promise to an Old Testament context, a misinterpreted one at that.

Moreover, we now know that most people identifying as Jews are probably (as Finley noted above) not descendants of Abraham, but of the [Khazars](#), a Turkic people who made Judaism their state religion in the eighth century AD. Jewish author Arthur Koestler pioneered historical evidence for this in his 1976 book [The Thirteenth Tribe](#), research since affirmed by [DNA evidence](#). The significance? If most "Jewish" occupiers of Palestine aren't Abraham's descendants, then they are *not his seed*, and thus not entitled to the land, even under the terms of Scofield's twisted theology.

- ***The "Judeo-Christian" myth.*** Most evangelical Christians harbor the illusion that religious Jews today are adherents of the Old Testament. They believe they as Christians live in "Judeo-Christian" harmony with Jews who share the same essential values.

**Of course, one cannot stereotype Jews, many of whom (like my late father) are non-religious, have no interest in Zionism, and assimilate into other cultures. However, when referring to organized Judaism, it is important to understand that religious Jews follow the *Talmud*, which rabbis consider senior to the *Tanakh* (Old Testament of the Christian Bible). Jesus consistently directed his most severe censures against the Pharisees, the teachers of the *oral law*, which they**

used to nullify the laws of God. After the Romans destroyed Jerusalem in 70 AD, dispersed Jews continued teaching the oral law, eventually written down as the Talmud. Over the centuries it evolved, becoming many times more evil than in Jesus's day.

**The Talmud decrees that:**

—Jesus is in hell, being boiled in feces; Gittin 57a.<sup>22</sup>

—Jesus's mother Mary was a whore; Babylonian Talmud (BT), Sanhedrin 106a.<sup>23</sup>

—Gentiles are donkeys; BT Berakoth 58a.<sup>24</sup>

—All gentile children are animals; Yebamoth 98a.<sup>25</sup>

—If a gentile hits a Jew, the gentile must be killed; BT Sanhedrin 58b.<sup>26</sup>

—Gentiles who study the Law deserve death; BT Sanhedrin 59a.<sup>27</sup>

—A Jew may lie to a gentile; Tractate Baba Kamma 113a.<sup>28</sup>

—A Jew need not pay a gentile wages owed for work; BT Sanhedrin 57A.<sup>29</sup>

—It is permissible to cheat a gentile in court; BT Baba Kamma.<sup>30</sup>

—If a Jew finds an object lost by a gentile, it does not have to be returned; BT Baba Kamma 113b.<sup>31</sup>

—When the Messiah comes, he will destroy the Christians; BT Sanhedrin 99a.<sup>32</sup>

It is not just ancient texts that disdain non-Jews, but modern rabbis as well.

—**Rabbi Kook the Elder, first Ashkenazi chief rabbi of the British Mandatory Palestine, said: “The difference between a Jewish soul and the souls of non-Jews—all of them in all different levels—is greater and deeper than the difference between a human soul and the souls of cattle.”**<sup>33</sup>

—**Israeli Rabbi Yaacov Perrin said in 1994: “One million Arabs are not worth a Jewish fingernail.”**<sup>34</sup>

—In his 2003 book *Jewish Superiority and the Question of Exile*, Rabbi Saadya Grama of Beth Medrash Govoha wrote: “The Jew by his source and his very essence is entirely good. The goy, by his source and in his very essence, is completely evil. This is not simply a matter of religious distinction, but rather of two completely different species.”<sup>35</sup>

—Israeli Sephardic leader Rabbi Ovadia Yosef said in a 2010 sermon:

*Goyim were born only to serve us. Without that, they have no place in the world; only to serve the People of Israel. Why are gentiles needed? They will work, they will plow, they will reap. We will sit like an effendi and eat. . . . With gentiles, it will be like any person: They need to die, but God will give them longevity. Why? Imagine that one's donkey would die, they'd lose their money. This is his servant. That's why he gets a long life, to work well for this Jew.*<sup>36</sup>

**Talmudic Judaism is *inherently racist*, not rooted in Biblical values. The *behavior* of Jews, not some sort of universal “anti-Semitism,” caused them to be expelled from more than 100 countries over the past two millennia. Martin Luther, well aware of their views and activities, denounced them.**

Judaism regards non-Jews as animals. This is why Israel’s heavily-armed IDF soldiers regularly abuse Palestinians as though they were not humans. A booklet, written by the IDF’s chief rabbi and published by its Central Regional Command, indoctrinated soldiers with Talmudic outlook in proclaiming:

*When our forces come across civilians during a war or in hot pursuit or in a raid, so long as there is no certainty that those civilians are incapable of harming our forces, then according to the Halakhah [Jewish law] they may and even should be killed.<sup>37</sup>*



The IDF’s new chief rabbi, Eyal Karim, has even said IDF soldiers may rape Arab women to boost their morale.

**Judaism regards Christians with contempt, and only tolerates them for the time being because they represent a powerful pro-Israel voting bloc. The *Jerusalem Post* observed in 1983:**

*The real Zionists in the U.S. are not the American Jews but the Christian evangelicals since these Christians feel that we are coming closer to a critical period in history and they want the Jews to fulfill prophecies and thus hasten the Second Coming of the Messiah. The evangelicals affect 20 million people a day in America. They are a great asset and must be used as such.<sup>38</sup> [emphasis added]*

Many Christians are duped into the illusion of “Judeo-Christianity” because Israel permits American church groups to visit ancient holy sites in Israel. But as Jack Bernstein, an American Jew who lived in Israel, wrote:

*Tourism is one of Israel’s main sources of income. The largest group of visitors are American Jews. But, there are also many American Christians who want to visit the holy shrines and to see the land of “God’s Chosen People.” These Christians come away very impressed and filled with religious fervor.*

*While in Israel, Jews and Gentiles alike are carefully watched so that they do not stray and happen to see the sordid side of Israel—the true Israel. Like in Soviet Russia and other communist countries, visitors to Israel are taken on carefully planned guided tours. They are shown the religious sites, the universities, the lush orchards, the*



*technical accomplishments, the arts, and to stir sympathy, they are taken to visit the Holocaust Museum.*

*But, kept from the eyes of the tourists are the ghettos, the prisons where political prisoners, mostly Arabs and Sephardic Jews, are subjected to the most inhumane forms of torture. The tourists do not see the widespread crime activities and the corruption and cooperation between organized crime bosses and government and police officials. The tourists do not learn of the true inner workings of Israel's Marxist/Fascist government; nor do they see Israel's racism.*

*I met one American tourist who couldn't help telling me about the wonderful religious feeling she had from being in Israel—the Holy Land. I remarked to her, "Just try giving a Bible to a local Jew and you will see how much religion and religious freedom there is in Israel. If seen by the police, you will be arrested."<sup>39</sup>*

**[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TJ](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TJxH6mhnAoc)**

**[xH6mhnAoc](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TJxH6mhnAoc)**

Check out Israeli television mocking Christianity:

**[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PRHrOWJYQ6Q&feature=emb\\_logo](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PRHrOWJYQ6Q&feature=emb_logo)**

## **Christian Zionism Degenerates into Complete Idiocy**

**Today's Christian Zionists do not just fail to discern the Luciferian nature of Judaism; they treat Jews and Israel with veneration bordering on idolatry. Texe Marrs highlights an example caught on film:**

*[F]ive or six young Christians, some eighteen to twenty years of age, attending a national evangelical youth conference were asked, "How many of you love Israel?" All of their hands shot straight up. "How many of you would fight and die for the nation of Israel?," they were asked. Again, every hand quickly went up. "And if Israel got into a war with the United States, how many would choose to go and fight with Israel against your home country, the United States?" Once again, all (except one) raised their hand, though not with quite so much enthusiasm.<sup>40</sup>*

A church I once attended invited a "Messianic Jew" to deliver a Sunday sermon. He told us it was very important for our church to show solidarity with the Jewish community. He announced that, to express this solidarity, we would pretend we were a synagogue today, and celebrate Purim (the holiday on which Jews celebrate **being saved from their enemies—and slaughtering them**). **He distributed noisemakers to the congregation. As the rest of the church played along, noisily**

**acting out Purim, I stood in silence. I looked across the aisle at another parishioner who felt as I did, and we shook our heads.**

At the time, I was not yet schooled in Christian Zionism's deplorable history. But I knew from the Bible's clear instructions (book of Hebrews) that we, as Christians, are not to engage in Jewish ceremonies. Furthermore, the alleged purpose of the "pretend we're a synagogue" service—to show solidarity to the Jewish community—made no sense, as no synagogue Jews were present to witness the event. In retrospect, I believe the **"Messianic Jew" was simply trying to Judaize Christians, and for I all know, was snickering under his breath at the sight of us observing Purim; for given the Talmud's remarks about Christians, the church was ghoulishly celebrating what was tantamount to its own funeral.**

[Brother Nathanael](#) Kapner, who was Jewish-born-and-raised, and is now an Orthodox Christian, says this about Christian Zionists:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fJt2MWYKXqY>

While some of Brother Nathanael's remarks may bore agnostics, and anger Christians with differing theological views, his comments on the Rapture and "Messianic age" bring us to Zionism's ultimate deception:

## **The Final Insult: Persuading Christians to Worship the Antichrist**

**There is nothing the Rothschilds would savor more than seeing the Christian church bow down and worship the beast, or Antichrist, whom they wish to rule their coming world government. This necessitates that** when the Antichrist appears, he initially presents himself as the Second Coming of Christ.

When I was a young Christian, I was struck by a particular distinction in Bible translations. Older versions, such as the King James and Geneva Bibles, always said Jesus would return at the end of the "world" (e.g., Matthew 24:3). But modern versions (NIV, West-Horcott, Darby, etc.) said He would return at the end of the "age." In the Scofield Reference Bible, Scofield begrudgingly used the King James Version, but always added notes clarifying that "world" was *properly* translated "age."

This may seem only a nuance, but is vital to the Zionists. Dispensationalism says history divides into seven "ages," and that we are currently only in the sixth. (These ages are artificial constructs; for a refutation, see this [post](#) by a former dispensational minister.)

**By claiming Jesus shall return at *the end of the current age*, instead of *the end of the world*, Scofield and his cohorts created a scenario by which the Antichrist *could proclaim himself Christ*, then rule the Earth during the next "age," through the very world government the Illuminati have been building.**

Scofield wrote in his reference Bible: “Upon His return the King will restore the Davidic monarchy in His own person, re-gather dispersed Israel, establish His power over all the earth, and reign one thousand years.”<sup>41</sup>

**But could Lucifer really arrive in a way that would persuade Christians he was Jesus? Certainly. The apostle Paul, when describing false apostles, wrote: “And no marvel; for Satan himself is transformed into an angel of light.” (2 Corinthians 11:14).** Can he appear to do miracles? The Bible says, “Even him, whose coming is after the working of Satan with all power and signs and lying wonders.” (2 Thessalonians 2:9). This would be especially easy with the high tech now available, such as the capacity to project holograms, which can be fashioned in the image of angels as easily as anything else.

As Emma Moore Weston notes:

***This Scofield teaching is concerned with a literal Jewish kingdom to last for a millennium. . . . The Bible does not teach it . . . We must ask ourselves if Jesus ever offered or announced himself as an earthly King or claimed David’s throne? Had he ever in any way suggested he was going to set up an earthly kingdom? He said to Pilate, “My kingdom is not of this world, if my kingdom were of this world, then would my servants fight, that I should not be delivered to the Jews, but now is my kingdom not from hence.”<sup>42</sup>***

The Israelites of Jesus’s day wanted a *political* Messiah, a king who would liberate them from Rome. Jesus rejected it:

*When Jesus therefore perceived that they would come and take him by force, to make him a king, he departed again into a mountain himself alone. (John 6:15)*

**Scofield and “Christian Zionists” reject both the character of Jesus and the Bible’s clear teaching by anticipating Him as an *earthly* king. They repeat the exact same error people in Israel made two thousand years ago.**

Most Christians today believe that when they die, they will dwell in heaven with Jesus forever. But if Jesus is to rule on *Earth* for a thousand years, while they were in heaven they would be *separated* from their Savior all that time. Yes, Scofieldism=confusion.

Many churches today affirm, in their “what we believe” statements, that Jesus will return to rule Earth for a millennium. Yet only one passage in the entire Bible refers to Jesus reigning a thousand years: Revelation 20:1-6. I think it dangerous for any church to base a core doctrine on a single scripture passage, especially given the general consensus that the book of Revelation contains considerable symbolic language. **As this post is already very long, I won’t elaborate here on Revelation 20, but I refer the reader to Appendix IV, “The Millennium,” of my book [\*Truth Is a Lonely Warrior\*](#).**

## **The Temple Trick**

For a number of years, I attended a fundamentalist church. One day, the associate pastor was teaching from the Old-Testament book of Ezekiel (in which God gave the prophet Ezekiel instructions on the building of a temple). The teacher told us that, since Ezekiel’s temple was never

built, it must be constructed *in the future*, and that Jewish animal sacrifices would be restored. He said that after Jesus returned, he would reign from this temple for 1,000 years.

**I was amazed at this teaching, because the New Testament unequivocally declares that the Cross of Christ did away with the temple and sacrifices. Much of the book of Hebrews is devoted to this point, telling us that “Jesus has become the guarantor of a better covenant,” and that “Unlike the other high priests, he does not need to offer sacrifices day after day, first for his own sins, and then for the sins of the people. He sacrificed for their sins once for all when he offered himself.” (Hebrews 7:22, 27)**

The Book of Ezekiel, however, prescribed animal sacrifices:

*Also one sheep is to be taken from every flock of two hundred from the well-watered pastures of Israel. These will be used for the grain offerings, burnt offerings and fellowship offerings to make atonement for the people, declares the sovereign Lord. (Ezekiel 45:15)*

**Jesus will not require us to make such offerings; the Cross eliminated them. The Book of Ezekiel further proclaims:**

*This is what the Sovereign Lord says: No foreigner uncircumcised in heart and flesh is to enter my sanctuary, not even the foreigners who live among the Israelites. (Ezekiel 44:9)*

Would Jesus exclude foreigners? **The Bible says the Gospel is for all peoples. Would he reinstitute the Jewish custom of physical circumcision? The Apostle Paul said:**

*Mark my words! I, Paul, tell you that if you let yourselves be circumcised, Christ will be of no value to you at all. Again I declare to every man who lets himself be circumcised that he is obligated to obey the whole law. You who are trying to be justified by the law have been alienated from Christ; you have fallen away from grace. (Galatians 5:2-4)*

How had my church's teacher become so blind to the Bible's clear message? I later found the answer **when he proudly displayed his Scofield Reference Bible.**

Although Christian Zionists say the Jewish temple must be rebuilt, Jesus prophesied only its destruction:

*“Do you see all these great buildings?” replied Jesus. “Not one stone here will be left on another; every one will be thrown down.” (Mark 13:2)*

Never did Jesus ordain the Temple's rebuilding, or state that He would rule from it. Here is what Jesus said about His return:

*So if anyone tells you, “There he is, out in the desert,” do not go out; or, “Here he is, in the inner rooms,” do not believe it. For as lightning that comes from east is visible in the west, so will be the coming of the Son of Man. (Matthew 24:26-27)*

**Who will rule from a temple in Jerusalem? The Antichrist. Jesus warned the End Times would occur “when you see the standing in the holy temple the abomination that causes**

desolation, spoken of through the prophet Daniel.” (Matt 24:15). Paul, speaking of the End Times, wrote:

*Don't let anyone deceive you in any way, for that day will not come until the rebellion occurs and the man of lawlessness is revealed, the man doomed to destruction. He will oppose and exalt himself over everything that is called God or is worshiped, so that he sets himself up in God's temple, proclaiming himself to be God. (2 Thess 2:3-4)*

Thus, Christian Zionists teach the *opposite* of Scripture and prepare their congregations to worship the Antichrist himself. And make no mistake, [Israel is preparing to rebuild the Temple](#), and to pay the costs gullible Christian congregations are being milked for donations, by some estimates up to \$100 million annually.<sup>43</sup>

## Why the Rapture Had to Be Taught

I now broach a subject guaranteed to incite fury, because it is so widely believed among evangelical churches. Darby and Scofield expounded that Christians would not face the Antichrist's persecution because *the Rapture* would remove them from the planet. They thus asserted that Jesus would return *twice*: once for the Rapture, and later again for His Second Coming. Here is the verse dispensationalists most heavily rely on for Rapture doctrine:

*For the Lord himself will descend from heaven with a cry of command, with the archangel's call, and with the sound of the trumpet of God. And the dead in Christ will rise first; then we who are alive, who are left, shall be caught up together with them in the clouds to meet the Lord in the air; and so we shall always be with the Lord. (1 Thessalonians 4:16-17)*

I will let Carl E. Olson elucidate:

*There are three problems with claiming this passage refers to the Rapture. First, neither it nor the entire book of 1 Thessalonians mentions Christ returning two more times, or makes any reference to such a distinction. Second, dispensationalists believe the Rapture will be a secret and silent event, yet this passage describes a very loud and public event. This is all the more problematic because dispensationalists insist that they interpret Scripture “plainly” and “literally,” allowing for symbolism only when such is the obvious intent of the author. Finally, dispensationalists teach that all other New Testament references to Christ coming in the clouds (Matthew 24:30 and 26:64; Mark 14:62; Revelation 1:7) refer to His Second Coming but inexplicably deny that that is the case here.<sup>44</sup>*

Furthermore, Scripture plainly teaches that believers will *not* be “gathered unto the Lord” until *after* the Antichrist has been revealed (excuse the following redundant quotation):

*Concerning the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ and our being gathered to him . . . . Don't let anyone deceive you in any way, for that day will not come until the rebellion occurs and the man of lawlessness is revealed, the man doomed to destruction. He will oppose and exalt himself over everything that is called God or is worshiped, so that he sets himself up in God's temple, proclaiming himself to be God. (2 Thess 2:1-4)*



By believing they will be spared persecution, Christians are preparing themselves for disappointment and even shattered faith. **Fritz Springmeier relates:**

*Chinese believers in China prior to the Mao's Red Chinese takeover were told that they would be raptured before they suffered any tribulation. The communists took over and tortured and martyred millions of Chinese Christians who mistakenly thought the Bible taught that they would be raptured before any suffering. The Bible was widely discredited, because it had been mistaught.*<sup>45</sup>


**Why did the Illuminati want the Rapture doctrine introduced? It** emasculated the church as an opponent of the New World Order. Why fight something you won't be around to experience?

## Conclusion

Today, many Christians are perplexed as to why their congregations are shrinking. The reasons, of course, are multidimensional, and include numerous factors outside the church itself, such as inculcation of [Darwinism](#) in public schools, and Hollywood's stereotyping of Christians as repugnant.

But much of the problem lies within the churches. Today, many truths about world events are freely available through alternative media. When informed newcomers come to a Sunday service, and see that a pastor, whose brain is hardwired to mainstream media, knows less about geopolitical truths than they do, will they trust that pastor to edify them about *eternal* truths? When they hear a pastor praising Israel despite decades of atrocities, and that the pastor thus excuses murder and theft, *unable to distinguish fundamental right from wrong*, will they trust this pastor to lead them toward righteousness? And when they see that a pastor cannot understand clear Bible lessons, but instead teaches their *opposite*, based on regurgitation of Scofield's Orwellian Scripture-twisting, will they want to return for another sermon?

I think not.



by James Perloff

<https://jamesperloff.net/war-on-christianity-part-2/>